**Protocol – Report to the thesis committee**

For the Please select the number. meeting of the thesis committee on Please select a date.

Name of the doctoral student: Click or type here to enter a name.

Topic of the PhD project: Click or type here to enter a text.

Start of the PhD project: Click or type here to enter a text.

**The PhD project in general**

Overall objective of the project:

Specific hypotheses/questions to be tested:

Research approaches to be used (theoretical - experimental - synthesis):

Possible risks of the project and approaches to solve them:

During the project

Previous results:

Problems and possible solutions:

**Modules**

Total number of credits to be earned: [ ]  24 credits [ ]  30 credits

Modules achieved so far:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Name of the module* | *Area of recognition* | *Number of credits* |
| Click or type here to enter text. | Click or type here to enter text. | Click or type here to enter text. |
| *∑ Please enter the sum.* |

Planned modules for the upcoming semester:

**Participation at conferences or equivalent**

**Publications as part of the dissertation**

**Career recommendations for the period after the doctorate** *(to be filled out in the 2nd half of the PhD project)*

**Planning of disputation and publication of the thesis** (*to be filled out at the last meeting recom­men­ded 6 months before submitting the thesis).*

General (time) planning

Which title are you aiming for?[[1]](#footnote-1) Please select an element.

Do you want to publish as an eDiss? [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  I do not know yet.

If yes, are there any reasons that contradict an immediate publication as eDiss right after the disputation? *(If, for example, patent rights or usage rights of a journal in which you have published or are submitting a chapter for publication prevent a direct double publication as eDiss, there is the possibility of an embargo (maximum 1 year after disputation)).*

 [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  I do not know yet.

Is an embargo required for the work?

 [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  I do not know yet.

Planned constellation of the examination board

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1st und 2nd Examiner/Referee*(including at least one member of the full-time members of the professional group (https://uni-goettingen.de/en/575774.html) and at least one member of the thesis committee (can be the same person))* | Click or type here to enter a name.[ ]  Examination authorization is clarified. |
| Click or type here to enter a name.[ ]  Examination authorization is clarified. |
| 3rd Examiner *(does not write a report, but is part of the examination board during the disputation)* | Click or type here to enter a name.[ ]  Examination authorization is clarified. |
| 4th Examiner *(if applicable; does not write a report, but is part of the examination board during the disputation*) | Click or type here to enter a name.[ ]  Examination authorization is clarified. |

Is there a reason for additional members on the examination board? *(For example, no more than 50% of the review committee members may come from one working group).*

 [ ]  Yes [ ]  No [ ]  I do not know yet.

Comments/other examiners and their function

**Tabular time schedule from start of the PhD to planned defense** (see template)

**Expectations and feedback from the thesis committee** *(optional)*

*This paragraph can be used by the supervisors to help the doctoral researchers assess how they are working and to discuss the following questions, for example:*

* *Is it possible to keep to the schedule with the current pace of work?*
* *Are there ideas for improving cooperation?*
* *Are there necessary agreements on the distribution of roles in the supervisory relationship?*
* *Do the goals communicated by the doctoral candidate correspond to the observed working style?*
* *Do the supervisors or individual supervisors have doubts about the successful completion of the work?*
	+ *If so, can or how can the doctorate still be completed successfully?*

**Confirmation of the minutes**

The minutes were discussed and approved by the following at least three supervisors and the doctoral candidate.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Enter  | Enter |  |
| PhD student | Date | Signature |
| Enter | Enter |  |
| First advisor | Date | Signature |
| Enter | Enter |  |
| Second advisor | Date | Signature |
| Enter | Enter |  |
| Third advisor | Date | Signature |
| Enter | Enter |  |
| (Additional advisor | Date | Signature) |

1. Please note the special requirements for Dr. rer. nat. and Dr. rer. pol. The requirements for these two titles must be clarified at least 6 months before submitting the thesis. Here you can find authorized examiners: <https://uni-goettingen.de/en/553614.html> [↑](#footnote-ref-1)